

From:
Sent: 11 October 2011 17:21
To: Taylor David (Parking Services)
Subject: FW: Emailing: LBHF SLA Parking Services CCTV Enforcement 2011
Attachments: LBHF SLA Parking Services CCTV Enforcement 2011.doc; Schedule of Traffic Enforcement status 2011-2012.xls

Importance: High

<<LBHF SLA Parking Services CCTV Enforcement 2011.doc>>
<<Schedule of Traffic Enforcement status 2011-2012.xls>>

Dave,
Something to check maybe on this SLA. I was thinking about this the other day as the last I heard was once I had done some work on it (in red). I do not know the status of this or what happened to it after I sent it to . He never got back to me. I am not sure if you have been involved at a later stage. I know more money for use of more cameras was linked to this.

Maybe something for the CCTV environment for the future. I did put TCF in here as well although its not necessarily binding.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: 30 March 2011 18:35
To:
Subject: FW: Emailing: LBHF SLA Parking Services CCTV Enforcement 2011
Importance: High

ent again for you. I had sent it on 23 March. Probably lost in your millions of emails.

See what you think then we can discuss as required if we need to redo or tweak or whatever.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: 30 March 2011 18:11
To:
Subject: RE: Emailing: LBHF SLA Parking Services CCTV Enforcement 2011

No - call me.

Thanks

Emergency Services Section
Residents Services Department
ext. mobile.
Fax: 020 8563

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: 30 March 2011 10:56
To: " "
Subject: RE: Emailing: LBHF SLA Parking Services CCTV Enforcement 2011

assume you got the SLA.

374

PS we may need to look at the CRB bit again. 10 of my 11 staff are all CRB checked. I myself have not been. I have no problem with it being done for me. The 1x CCTV staff member that is not is a long term Agency staff CCTV officer. I think we could ask for him to be CRB checked by his agency.

However although we are not using the 25+ Main stream Parking services officers at the moment, none of them are CRB checked. They do come down on the odd occasion to do CCTV if my staff are on leave etc or I need cover.

It is possible that they could all come back full time on a rota as we did before.

Something to discuss with DT.

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: 23 March 2011 11:07
To:
Subject: Emailing: LBHF SLA Parking Services CCTV Enforcement 2011
Importance: High

Sorry this is a bit late but when I looked at the camera schedule again I had to basically rework it, looking at the TCF and all the problems with cameras etc and the ones we would like to use in the future.

I have marked all changes in Red so you can compare with your original.

I have basically just done:

corrections to the services titles etc,

added in some more definitions,

added a para to ask for info on any planned cameras to see if mutually beneficial if that can be accommodated. I appreciate you would have the final say obviously on placement if you are funding them.

Added a para in about the TCF as this has been problematic when CCTV changes take place and can put us in jeopardy of not being approved. Do we need a refund/day costing similar to defective cameras refund costs you put in if we lose cameras to sudden changes and build in a window of time to correct?? I can do house keeping on the TCF but tech stuff, Audit and diagram updates etc need to be done by Chroma.

I see you have based the Annual cost on 80 cameras based on my original camera schedule. Thinking about this I do not know when we would be in an approved position to say that all these cameras are in and being used. **We still need permission from you on North End road camera use to go ahead. Do you take on funding for these camera April 2011???** Obviously the more cameras we have, the better for you as its more maintenance revenue. This is the difficult bit as it relies on the TCF being done, which is in limbo depending on if we get a plan sorted to move to HTH. I am not sure how Dave Taylor is doing with this. If we had the TCF done etc and added in all the camera's it would probably be nearer 90 cameras. Dave says he has been working on it and should have done the audit of the system for the TCF.

So to do this simply I have added in a schedule to the SLA of the camera we use that are approved (or not) as it stands today. We only need approval at this time for cameras doing parking. Bus lane and MTC is a bit easier as we have streetwatch and the Rugby clock system. Problem with that is it means we are only using 52 cameras.

I have also provided you with an excel sheet of the same schedule as in the SLA but the 2nd tab has all the cameras I would like to use and what for. This emans you can project what we may use. Any other cameras you are aware of would be handy in the future. The 3rd tab is just a list of all camera without statics as a record from

I added in a para about additional cameras after the original 80 being put in based upon your £2,500 costing. This just has an extra bit about reunds for those cameras. See what you think.

I have added a revision history.